Direct and Inverse Cascades in the Wind-Driven Sea

Vladimir E. Zakharov^{1,2}

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA, *zakharov@math.arizona.edu*;

² Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We offer a new form for the S_{nl} term in the Hasselmann kinetic equation for squared wave amplitudes of wind-driven gravity wave. This form of S_{nl} makes possible to rewrite in differential form the conservation laws for energy, momentum, and wave action, and introduce their fluxes by a natural way. We show that the stationary kinetic equation has a family of exact Kolmogorov-type solutions governed by the fluxes of motion constants: wave action, energy, and momentum. The simple "local" model for S_{nl} term that is equivalent to the "diffusion approximation" is studied in details. In this case, Kolmogorov spectra are found in the explicit form. We show that a general solution of the stationary kinetic equation behind the spectral peak is described by the Kolmogorov-type solution with frequency-dependent fluxes. The domains of "inverse cascade" and "direct cascade" can be separated by a natural way. The spectrum in the universal domain is close to ω^{-4} .

1 INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of wind-generated gravity waves on the sea surface is a very interesting subject not only for oceanographers, coastal engineers and naval architects. The ocean is a great natural laboratory, and many phenomena taking place there are interesting for a broad community of physicists. Gravity and capillary surface waves on deep water represent the most conspicuous natural example of nonlinear waves in a strongly dispersive media. The statistical theory of such waves is called a theory of weak turbulence; it is an important part of general classical physics. This theory has been developing for more than forty years, and its basic concepts are now understood very well. However experimental data supporting this theory are scarce. The situation here is opposite to that of strong hydrodynamic turbulence in an incompressible fluid. In this case we have a lot of experimental data but the theory is poor and inconsistent.

The theory of weak turbulence is naturally applicable to the description of surface gravity and capillary waves on deep and shallow water. It can also be applied to acoustic Alfven waves in hydrodynamics, to many types of waves in plasmas, to waves in liquid helium and spin waves in ferromagnetics, as well as to Rossby waves in atmosphere and to internal waves; however experimental data collected so far in these areas of physics is too poor to perform a convincing comparison with the theory. Only the oceanographers who collect data on wave spectra, measured in the ocean, in lakes and in wave tanks for almost half a century, have accumulated vast quantities of very valuable experimental materials which could and should be compared with the predictions of the theory. (A contribution of professor M. Donelan in this process is really seminal, see for instance [Donelan, 1985]) This is an ambitious task; a lot of work must be done to perform it, and only first results are obtained in this direction. It was shown that the fetch dependence of wave energy and wave frequency, obtained in basic fetch-limited experiments, can be naturally interpreted in terms of the weak turbulent theory [Zakharov, 2002]. All experimenters agree that the observed spectra of wind-driven sea waves just behind the spectral peak have a universal powerlike form close to ω^{-4} . We will show that this dependence can be easily explained in terms of the weak-turbulent theory.

Once more, weak turbulence is the statistical theory of nonlinear waves in dispersive media. A central point of this theory is the following: a wave ensemble is described by the kinetic equation for square wave amplitudes. This equation has different names; for instance, the Boltzmann equation or the Hasselmann equation. Also, this equation could be called the Peierls equation, because it is nothing but the classical limit of the quantum kinetic equation for phonons, derived by Peierls and others in the late twenties. In this article we will use the term "kinetic equation".

The kinetic equation for gravity waves was derived by K. Hasselmann in 1962-1963 [Hasselmann, 1962; Hasselmann 1963]; now this equation is accepted as a basic model for the description of wave spectra evolution by the majority of oceanographers. The kinetic equation in a truncated form (known as the DIA, or Direct Interaction Approximation) is widely used in the third generation models of wave prediction. However the physical effects described by the kinetic equation need to be commented.

The main function of the nonlinear interaction term S_{nl} in the kinetic equation is a very intensive redistributor of energy, momentum and wave action along the spectrum. Due to S_{nl} , the direct cascades of energy and momentum as well as inverse cascades of wave action are formed. These processes govern the evolution of the spectral peak and play a central role in the formation of the universal powerlike spectrum behind the spectral peak. In the simplest idealized cases, these spectra are Kolmogorov-type weak turbulent spectra, corresponding to constant fluxes of energy and wave action. Strictly speaking, such spectra are realized in physical systems, where domains of forcing and damping are essentially separated in Kspace. In the wind-driven sea the source of wave action is concentrated near the spectral peak, while the source of energy is distributed along the spectrum. On the first glance this fact is an impediment for application of Kolmogorov-type theory; actually this is not a serious difficulty. In a realistic situation the fluxes of energy and wave action are functions of frequency. However this does not affect essentially the spectral shape; it remains close to ω^{-4} .

One more point is important. If the wind-driven sea is well-developed, then the main part of momentum fluxes from the wind is concentrated in short waves. This fact, experimentally established and essentially stressed by M. Donelan, can be naturally explained in terms of the weak turbulent theory.

There is no reliable parametrization for the white capping dissipation. However it seems very probable that this fundamental process generates dissipation only in the short-wave region. Indeed, wave breaking makes the surface more smooth, acting like an efficient viscosity or even super-viscosity. Moreover, the wave breaking generates turbulence in the boundary layer with a thickness comparable to the length of the most dissipative waves. It is known that this layer is much thinner than the wave length of the spectral peak. Hence it is possible to suggest that the white cap dissipation in the area of the spectral peak can be neglected for the developed sea. In the first approximation the evolution of the spectral peak is described by the "conservative" kinetic equation such that includes only the time derivative, the advective term, and S_{nl} . In the range of high frequencies the influence of wind forcing and white capping can be taken into account as a "boundary condition". This condition defines the flux of wave action to the long wave region.

The "conservative" kinetic equation has a family of self-similar solutions depending on two free parameters. It was shown recently that by choosing the parameters in a proper way one can explain the results of major fetch-limited experiments made during the last three decades, including the JONSWAP experiment [Zakharov, 2002]. We will present a detailed description of this study, supported by a massive numerical experiment, in an another article. In this paper we present the basic ideas of the weak turbulent theory using the simplest theoretical model of S_{nl} known as "local" or "diffusive" approximation.

2 Basic Theory

We assume that the flow in the wave motion is potential $v = \nabla \Phi$. The condition of incompressibility imposes on potential Φ the Laplace equation:

$$\Delta \Phi = 0. \tag{1}$$

If η is the shape of the surface, then equation (1) should be solved in the domain $z < \eta$ under the boundary condition

$$\begin{split} \Phi|_{z=\eta} &= \psi(\vec{r},t), \\ \left. \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \right|_{z \to -\infty} \to 0. \end{split}$$

In the linear approximation we should solve equation (1) in the half-space z < 0. The shape of the surface and the potential on the surface, η and ψ , are canonically conjugated variables; then the Euler equation for the potential flow of an ideal fluid with a free surface can be written

$$\eta_t = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \psi},$$

$$\psi_t = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \eta}.$$
(2)

The solution of linearized motion equation (2) is the propagating monochromatic wave

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{2\omega_{\vec{k}}}{g}} A_0 \cos(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega_k t - \phi),$$
$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2\omega_{\vec{k}}}{|\vec{k}|}} A_0 \sin(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega_k t - \phi),$$

where $\omega_{\vec{k}} = \sqrt{g |\vec{k}|}$ and \vec{k} is the wave vector. We can call

$$A = A_0 e^{i\phi}$$

the complex amplitude of the propagating wave. The normalization of A is taken in such way that the energy density is

$$E = \omega A_0^2.$$

By definition, $A_0^2 = E/\omega$ is the density of "wave action"; then the sea surface is a composition of propagating waves

$$\begin{split} \eta &= \int \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\vec{k}}}{2g}} \left(A_{\vec{k}} + A^*_{-\vec{k}} \right) e^{i(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega_{\vec{k}}t)} \, d\vec{k}, \\ \psi &= -i \int \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{\vec{k}}}{2|\vec{k}|}} \left(A_{\vec{k}} - A^*_{-\vec{k}} \right) e^{i(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega_{\vec{k}}t)} \, d\vec{k}. \end{split}$$

A real sea should be described statistically; to do this let us introduce the spectral density of wave action, assuming that

$$\left\langle A_{\vec{k}} A^*_{\vec{k}'} \right\rangle = g N_{\vec{k}} \ \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').$$

Then we can express the spatial correlation function

$$F(\vec{R}) = \left\langle \eta(\vec{r}) \, \eta(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) \right\rangle$$

in the form

$$F(\vec{R}) = \int \omega_{\vec{k}} \, N_{\vec{k}} \, \cos \vec{k} \vec{R} \, d\vec{k}.$$

In this case, the mean squared derivation σ is given by the formula

$$\sigma = \left\langle \eta^2 \right\rangle = \int \omega_{\vec{k}} \, N_{\vec{k}} \, d\vec{k}.$$

Further, let us denote $E_{\vec{k}} = \omega_{\vec{k}} N_{\vec{k}}$; this is the energy density in K-space divided by g. It has dimension $[L^4]$. Now we can express $\eta(\vec{r})$ through its Fourier transform $\eta_{\vec{k}}$

$$\eta(\vec{r}) = \int \eta_{\vec{k}} \ e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}} \, d\vec{k}$$

and define the spatial spectrum as follows

$$\left\langle \eta_{\vec{k}} \eta_{\vec{k}'} \right\rangle = I_{\vec{k}} \, \delta(\vec{k} + \vec{k}'),$$

$$F(\vec{R}) = \int I_{\vec{k}} \, e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{r}} \, d\vec{k}.$$

Comparing with (3) we obtain

$$I_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\vec{k}} (N_{\vec{k}} + N_{-\vec{k}}),$$

$$\sigma = \int I_{\vec{k}} d\vec{k}.$$
(3)

Further, it is convenient to introduce complex amplitudes

$$a_{\vec{k}} = 2\pi A_{\vec{k}}$$

and derive the motion equation (2) in the form

$$\frac{\partial a_{\vec{k}}}{\partial t} + i \frac{\delta H}{\delta a_{\vec{k}}^*} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where the Hamiltonian H can be expanded in powers of $a_{\vec{k}}$

The Hamiltonian H_2 contains terms quartic in $a_{\vec{k}}^*, a_{\vec{k}}$.

It is not very convenient to use equation (4). The cubic Hamiltonian H_1 leads to the formation of "slave" waves; wave numbers and frequencies of "slave waves" are not connected by the dispersion relation. To separate "slave" and "free" waves one should perform a canonical transformation to new variables $b_{\vec{k}}$, eliminating the cubic term H_1 . In new variables the Hamiltonian takes the form [Zakharov, 1999]:

and the dynamic equation

$$\frac{\partial b_{\vec{k}}}{\partial t} + i \frac{\delta H}{\delta b_{\vec{k}}^*} = 0 \tag{5}$$

in new variables is known as "Zakharov equation" [Zakharov, 1968]

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial b_{\vec{k}}}{\partial t} &+ i\,\omega_{\vec{k}}\,b_{\vec{k}} + \frac{i}{2}\int T_{\vec{k}\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2\vec{k}_3}\,\,b^*_{\vec{k}_1}\,b_{\vec{k}_2}\,b_{\vec{k}_3} \\ &\times \delta(\vec{k}+\vec{k}_1-\vec{k}_2-\vec{k}_3)\,d\vec{k}_1\,d\vec{k}_2\,d\vec{k}_3 = 0. \end{split}$$

Explicit expressions for the coefficients of the Hamiltonian, the coupling coefficient $T_{\vec{k}\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2\vec{k}_3}$, and the canonical transformation can be found in (Zakharov, 1999).

Equation (5), being approximate, has a very important feature: it conserves the total wave action, i.e., is adiabatic invariant

$$N = \int \left| b_{\vec{k}} \right|^2 \, d\vec{k},$$

thus the kinetic wave equation is imposed to the correlation function of *b*-variables:

$$\left\langle b_{\vec{k}} \, b^*_{\vec{k}'} \right\rangle = n_{\vec{k}} \, \, \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').$$

On deep water we can put approximately

$$n_{\vec{k}} \simeq 4\pi^2 g \, N_{\vec{k}}.$$

It is important to stress that the kinetic equation describes not the real sea studied by experimenters but an idealized object: the ensemble of "free" waves filtered from the slave harmonics. On deep water the difference between these two ensembles is small (1 - 2%), while on shallow water the difference can be much more essential.

The kinetic equation in terms of N reads

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\delta \vec{k}} + \nabla N = S_{nl} + S_{in} + S_{ds}.$$

Here S_{in} and S_{ds} are income from wind and dissipation due to white capping, and S_{nl} has the form

$$S_{nl} = \int S\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3\right) \left(N_{\vec{k}_1} N_{\vec{k}_2} N_{\vec{k}_3} + N_{\vec{k}} N_{\vec{k}_2} N_{\vec{k}_3} - N_{\vec{k}} N_{\vec{k}_1} N_{\vec{k}_2} - N_{\vec{k}} N_{\vec{k}_1} N_{\vec{k}_3}\right) \, \delta\left(\vec{k} + \vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_3\right) \\ \times \delta\left(\omega_{\vec{k}} + \omega_{\vec{k}_1} - \omega_{\vec{k}_2} - \omega_{\vec{k}_3}\right) \, d\vec{k}_1 \, d\vec{k}_2 \, d\vec{k}_3,$$

where

$$S\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3\right) = (2\pi)^4 \pi g^2 \left| T_{\vec{k}\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2\vec{k}_3} \right|^2$$

can be found in [Hasselman, 1963], [Webb, 1978], [Zakharov, 1999].

The explicit expression for S is pretty complicated. The most important fact is the following: $S\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3\right)$ is a homogeneous function of sixth order

$$S\left(\epsilon\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{k}_{1},\epsilon\vec{k}_{2},\epsilon\vec{k}_{3},\right) = \epsilon^{6}S\left(\vec{k},\vec{k}_{1},\vec{k}_{2},\vec{k}_{3}\right).$$

For a rough estimate we can put

$$S\simeq k^6\simeq \omega^{12}.$$

This is very fast growing function in frequencies. This fact is of a crucial importance. Most authors agree that S_{in} can be presented in the form

$$S_{in} = \beta(\omega, \theta) N(k),$$

where

$$\beta(\omega, \theta) = \mu F(\xi) \omega, \quad \xi = \frac{\omega \cos \theta}{\omega_0}, \quad \omega_0 = \frac{g}{u_{10}}.$$

Here u_{10} is the wind velocity at 10 meters height; $\mu = 0.1 \sim 0.3$; $\rho_a/\rho_w \simeq 10^{-3}$; ρ_a and ρ_w are densities of air and water.

There is no agreement about the exact form of the function $F(\xi)$. According to Hsiao and Shemdin [Hsiao, 1983]

$$\mu = 0.12, \quad F(\xi) = (0.85\xi - 1)^2,$$

according to Donelan [Donelan, 1985]

$$\mu \simeq 0.194, \quad F(\xi) = (\xi - 1)^2$$

while Tolman and Chalikov [Tolman, 1996] proposed a complicated form of $F(\xi)$. In all these models $\beta(\omega) \simeq \omega^3$ as $\omega \to \infty$. According to Snyder [Snyder, 1981]

$$\mu = 0.25, \quad F(\xi) = \xi - 1$$

In this case, $\beta(\omega) \simeq \omega^2$ at large ω .

An analytical expression for S_{ds} is much less certain. Komen et al (1984) proposed the form

$$S_{ds} = -C_{dis} \left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\alpha_{pm}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\omega}{\bar{\omega}}\right)^n \bar{\omega} N.$$
(6)

Here α is dimensionless steepness and C_{dis} is a dimensionless parameter. This formula is entirely speculative. It doesn't have any theoretical foundation and is not derived from any real experiment in the ocean, lake or in a wave tank. Anyway, this formula is used widely in operational models (WAM, SWAM). It is supposed in most cases that

$$n = 2, \quad \alpha = 3.33 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \alpha_{pm} = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}.$$

In our opinion, expression (6) overestimates dissipation due to white capping in low frequencies. It can be used in absence of a better option; however on our opinion the parameter n should be essentially larger. If $n \ge 3$, the whole picture of ocean wave turbulence does not depend on a particular value of n.

3 Constants of motion and their fluxes

In this chapter we study the conservative homogeneous equation

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = S_{nl} \tag{7}$$

in absence of wind forcing and dissipation. It is considered that this equation has the following constants of motion - wave action, energy and momentum:

$$N = \int N_{\vec{k}} d\vec{k},$$
$$E = \int \omega_{\vec{k}} N_{\vec{k}} d\vec{k},$$
$$\vec{M} = \int \vec{k} N_{\vec{k}} d\vec{k}.$$

Formally speaking, this statement is correct; but the reality is much more complicated. Let us introduce polar coordinates |k| and ϕ :

$$\begin{split} |k| &= \frac{\omega^2}{g}, \\ k \, dk \, d\phi &= \frac{2\omega^3}{g^2} \, d\omega \, d\phi, \end{split}$$

and denote

$$N_{\omega} d\omega = N_k d\vec{k},$$

$$N(\omega, \phi) = \frac{2\omega^3}{g} N\left(\frac{\omega^2}{g}, \phi\right).$$
(8)

In what follows we understand $N(\omega,\phi)$ according to (8). We introduce also angle-independent spectra

$$N(\omega) = \int_0^{2\pi} N(\omega, \phi) \, d\phi,$$

$$E(\omega) = \omega \, \frac{N(\omega)}{2\pi},$$

$$M_x(\omega) = \frac{\omega^2}{g} \int_0^{2\pi} N(\omega, \phi) \cos(\phi) \, d\phi.$$

The conservative quantities in new variables take form

$$N = \int_0^\infty N(\omega) \, d\omega,$$
$$E = \int_0^\infty E(\omega) \, d\omega,$$
$$M_x = \int_0^\infty M_x(\omega) \, d\omega,$$

and conservation laws of these quantities can be written in the differential form

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial N(\omega)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \omega}, \\ \frac{\partial E(\omega)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial \omega}, \\ \frac{\partial M_x(\omega)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \omega}. \end{split}$$

Here Q is the flux of wave action to small wave numbers, while P and K are fluxes of energy and momentum directed to high wave numbers. A constant of motion is "real" if the corresponding flux is zero both at zero and infinite frequencies. Otherwise it is just a "formal" motion constant [Zakharov, Pushkarev, 2000].

Now let us introduce the differential operator

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}$$

and present kinetic equation (7) in the form

$$\frac{\partial N(\omega,\phi)}{\partial t} = LA.$$
(9)

Here

$$A(\omega,\phi) = L^{-1} S_{nl}$$

and A is a result of action on $N(\omega, \phi)$ of a nonlinear integral operator

$$A(\omega, \phi) = \int F(\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \phi - \phi_1, \phi - \phi_2, \phi - \phi_3) \\ \times N(\omega_1, \phi_1) N(\omega_2, \phi_2) N(\omega_3, \phi_3) \\ \times d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\phi_1 d\phi_2 d\phi_3.$$
(10)

The explicit expression for F is given in Appendix. F is a homogeneous function of order 12:

$$F(\epsilon\omega,\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2,\epsilon\omega_3) = \epsilon^{12}F(\omega,\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3) \sim g^{-4}\omega^{12}.$$

Further, if we denote

$$A(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} A(\omega, \phi) \, d\phi,$$
$$B(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} B(\omega, \phi) \cos \phi \, d\phi,$$

then the fluxes Q, P, K can be expressed in terms of A, B in the following form

$$Q = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega},\tag{11}$$

$$P = A - \omega \,\frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega},\tag{12}$$

$$K = \frac{\omega}{g} \left(2B - \omega \frac{\partial B}{\partial \omega} \right). \tag{13}$$

Formulae (11-13) are of key importance for the theory of weak-turbulent spectra.

4 Kolmogorov spectra

In this chapter we study solutions of the stationary equation

$$S_{nl} = 0, (14)$$

which is equivalent to equation

$$LA = 0. \tag{15}$$

One class of solutions for (15) is given by solution of the equation

A = 0,

and if these solutions exist, they are thermodynamic Rayley-Jeans spectra

$$n_{\vec{k}} = \frac{T}{\omega_{\vec{k}} + \mu}.$$

In the case of surface gravity waves these solutions do not exist because of the divergence of integrals in the operator A. To get physically significant solutions we can partially integrate equation (15) and put

$$A(\omega,\phi) = \omega Q + P + \frac{2Kg\cos\phi}{\omega}.$$
 (16)

In this case,

$$A(\omega) = \omega Q + P,$$

$$B(\omega) = \frac{Kg}{\omega}.$$
(17)

By substituting (17) into (11-13) we see that constants Q, P, and K in both cases are the same.

Equation (16) defines the most general Kolmogorov-type solution of stationary kinetic equation; due to homogeneity of operator A this equation can be written in the form

$$N(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}}P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^5} R\left(\frac{\omega Q}{P}, \frac{2kg}{\omega P}, \phi\right)$$
(18)

with the energy spectrum

$$E(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}}P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^4} R\left(\frac{\omega Q}{P},\frac{2kg}{\omega P},\phi\right).$$
(19)

Let us study the most important special cases. If Q = 0, K = 0, formulae (18), (19) give the Zakharov-Filonenko Kolmogorov spectrum of the direct cascade

$$N(\omega, \phi) = \frac{C_p g^{\frac{4}{3}} P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^5},$$

$$E_{\omega} = \frac{C_p g^{\frac{4}{3}} P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^4}.$$
(20)

Here

$$C_p = R(0, 0, 0)$$

is the Kolmogorov constant of direct cascade (first Kolmogorov constant). We can offer another definition of C_p .

Suppose that $N(\omega, \phi)$ is an isotropic powerlike function of ω ,

$$N(\omega) = \omega^{-x}.$$
(21)

Special consideration (which is not at home in this article) shows that integrals in A converge if

$$0 < x < \frac{19}{4}.$$

Plugging (21) to (10) we obtain

$$A(\omega) = f(x) \,\omega^{(15-3x)}.$$
 (22)

Apparently,

$$f|_{x=5} = \frac{1}{C_p^3}.$$

Spectrum (20) has a clear physical interpretation; this spectrum is a direct analog of the classical Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence in an incompressible fluid. This spectrum is realized if there is a source of energy at small wave numbers and a sink of energy at high frequency region.

The most general isotropic solution appears if K = 0; then the spectrum is

$$E_{\omega} = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}} P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^4} F\left(\frac{\omega Q}{P}\right). \tag{23}$$

Function $F(\xi)$ depends on one variable; obviously $F(0) = C_p$. If $\xi \to \infty$, spectrum should not depend on P. Hence $F(\xi) \to C_q/C_p \xi^{1/3}$ as $\xi \to \infty$, equation (19) goes to Zakharov-Zaslavskii spectrum of inverse cascade

$$E(\omega) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}}C_q Q^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^{\frac{11}{3}}},$$
(24)

where C_q is the Kolmogorov constant of the inverse cascade. Spectrum (23) presumes that there is a source of wave action Q at high frequencies and sink of wave action at small frequencies.

A general isotropic spectrum (23) is realized if there exists simultaneously a source of energy and sink of wave action at small frequencies together with energy sink and wave action source at high frequencies.

If we study the most anisotropic case Q = 0, P = 0, then equation (16) has the following solution

$$N(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}} h(\phi) (Kg)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^{\frac{13}{3}}}.$$
 (25)

From the symmetry consideration we can make a conclusion that

$$h(\phi) = -h\left(\pi - \phi\right).$$

Hence solution (25) is not positive at all values. This is a reason to doubt that the general solution (19) is essentially positive and can be realized in the whole (ω, ϕ) plane. Anyway, it can be used for approximation of real spectra in some finite part of wave-vector plane.

In the important case of Q = 0, solution (19) takes the form

$$E(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}}P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^4} H\left(\frac{gK}{\omega P},\phi\right).$$
(26)

Spectrum (26) can be found at small values of $gK/\omega P$. Expanding in the Taylor series on this parameter, we obtain

$$E(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}}P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\omega^4} \left(C_p + \frac{\alpha(\phi)gK}{\omega P} + \cdots\right).$$

The correction to the isotropic spectrum satisfies the linearized equation (16). As far as this situation is invariant with respect to rotations in the (ω, ϕ) plane,

$$\alpha(\phi) = C_2 \cos \phi$$

Coefficient C_2 is known as the second Kolmogorov constant.

If $\omega \to \infty$, then the right hand in (16) becomes independent of angle. This means that the Kolmogorov solution becomes isotropic at large ω . The real observed spectra remain anisotropic for arbitrary large ω . The explanation is the following: in real situation the momentum flux K is not constant but is approximately proportional to frequency.

5 Local diffusion approximation

Many important features of the weak-turbulent theory can be understood in a framework of a very simple theory.

Let us accept the following approximation for the operator A [Pushkarev, Za-kharov, 1999]:

$$A(\omega, \phi) = \frac{a \,\omega^{15}}{g^4} \,N^3.$$
(27)

Here a is a dimensionless constant, which should be found by comparison with experiment. In this case the kinetic equation turns to the nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = \frac{a}{g^4} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) \omega^{15} N^3.$$
(28)

Function A has a very simple form and a general Kolmogorov solution is

$$E(\omega,\phi) = \frac{g^{\frac{4}{3}} P^{\frac{1}{3}}}{a^{\frac{1}{3}} \omega^4} \left(1 + \frac{\omega Q}{P} + \frac{2Kg\cos\phi}{\omega P} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$
 (29)

Now

$$C_p = C_q = a^{-\frac{1}{3}},$$
$$h(\phi) = \left(\frac{2\cos\phi}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Solution (29) is positive for all angles but in the case of large enough frequencies only; that satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{\omega P}{2kg}\left(1+\frac{\omega Q}{P}\right) > 1.$$

Comparing (26) with (9) we find that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega,\phi) &= \frac{a}{g^4} \,\omega^{15} \,N^3, \\ A(\omega) &= \frac{a}{g^4} \,\omega^{15} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} N^3 \,d\phi, \\ B(\omega) &= \frac{a}{g^4} \,\omega^{15} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} n^3 \cos\phi \,d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

and for the general Kolmogorov solution we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega,\phi) &= P + \omega Q + \frac{2Kg\cos\phi}{\omega}, \\ A(\omega) &= P + \omega Q, \\ B(\omega) &= \frac{Kg}{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Both $A(\omega), B(\omega)$ are essentially positive. This is correct for the general nonlocal case (12). The formula for $A(\omega, \phi)$ presumes that a solution has sources of energy and momentum, P and K, as well as a sink of wave action Q at the point $\omega = 0$.

In a framework of the local approximation we can efficiently study a forced stationary equation

$$S_{nl} + S_{in} + S_{ds} = 0. ag{30}$$

We can assume that

$$S_{in} + S_{ds} = \beta(\omega, \theta) N(\omega, \theta),$$

and restrict our consideration by the case of angular symmetry $\beta = \beta(\omega)$ only. Then equation (30) reads

$$\frac{a}{2g^4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} \omega^{15} N^3 + \beta(\omega) N = 0.$$
(31)

Another form of this equation is the following:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} A + \frac{g^{4/3}}{a^{1/3}} \frac{\beta(\omega)}{\omega^5} A^{1/3} = 0.$$
(32)

In a real situation solution $N(\omega)$ is concentrated in a finite frequency band

$$N > 0, \quad \omega_1 < \omega < \omega_2, N = 0, \quad \omega < \omega_1, \quad \omega > \omega_2.$$
(33)

From continuity of N and $\partial N/\partial \omega$ we obtain

$$N \mid_{\omega=\omega_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial \omega} \bigg|_{\omega=\omega_1} = 0, \quad N \mid_{\omega=\omega_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial N}{\partial \omega} \bigg|_{\omega=\omega_2} = 0.$$
(34)

Conditions (33) define the boundary value problem for equations (31), (32).

Since in neighborhood of the ends of interval (33) there exists asymptotics

$$A \simeq \frac{1}{6} P_1 (\omega - \omega_1)^3 \quad P_1 > 0$$

$$A = \frac{1}{6} P_2 (\omega_2 - \omega)^3 \quad P_2 > 0$$
(35)

we obtain from (32) the following expressions for β :

$$\beta(\omega_1) = -P_1^2 \,\omega_1^5 \, \left(\frac{6a}{g^4}\right)^{1/3},$$

$$\beta(\omega_2) = -P_2^2 \,\omega_2^5 \left(\frac{6a}{g^4}\right)^{1/3}.$$
 (36)

We can see now that a boundary problem has nontrivial solutions only if $\beta(\omega)$ is negative at both ends of interval $\omega_1 < \omega < \omega_2$. This conclusion is very general. To get a stationary solution of equation (30) we should have sinks both in low and high frequency regions. This statement without a proof can be found in the paper [Komen, Hasselmann, Hasselmann, 1984].

Condition (34) impose four restrictions on function $N(\omega)$ satisfying to a second order ODE. This is not too much because the ends of the interval $\omega_1 < \omega < \omega_2$ are unknown. They can be found from the following conditions for wave action and energy balance:

$$\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \beta(\omega) N(\omega) d\omega = 0,$$
$$\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \omega \beta(\omega) N(\omega) d\omega = 0.$$

To satisfy the balance condition, we should have at least one domain of instability, where $\beta(\omega) > 0$, inside the interval $\omega_1 < \omega < \omega_2$. In a typical situation there is one such area. In this case $A(\omega)$ has only one maximum at a point ω_3 ($\omega_1 < \omega_3 < \omega_2$), and the whole interval could be divided into three domains:

1. Area, where $A(\omega)$ grows. Suppose, some interval $A(\omega)$ can be approximated by a linear function

$$A(\omega) = Q(\omega - \omega_0).$$

In this area,

$$Q = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega} = const,$$

$$P = A - \omega \frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega} \sim -\omega_0 Q < 0.$$

This is the area of <u>inverse cascade</u>. A margin of this area is a frequency ω^* , where flux of energy P changes the sign $P(\omega^*) = 0$.

2. Area near $\omega \simeq \omega^*$, where $A(\omega)$ is almost constant. Here Q is small, while $P = A(\omega^*)$ is large and positive. This is the area of <u>direct cascade</u>.

3. Area of dissipation, where $\partial A/\partial \omega < 0$. In this area P > 0, Q < 0. Both the energy and the wave action are carried out to a zone of high frequencies.

In the area of direct cascade, equation (32) can be approximately integrated. We can rewrite this equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega} \left(\omega \frac{\partial A}{\partial\omega} - A \right) + \frac{g^{4/3}}{A^{1/3}} \frac{\beta(\omega)}{\omega^4} A^{1/3} = 0, \tag{37}$$

and put

$$\omega \, \frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega} \ll A.$$

This makes possible to integrate (35); the integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \omega} &= \frac{g^{4/3}}{\omega^{1/4}} \frac{\beta(\omega)}{\omega^4} A^{1/3}, \\ A^{2/3} &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{g^{4/3}}{a^{1/3}} \int_{\omega_0^*}^{\omega} \frac{\beta(\omega)}{\omega^4} d\omega \end{aligned}$$

In this approximation

$$P = A + P_0 \ln\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega^*}\right)^{3/2}$$
$$P_0 = \frac{g^2}{a^{1/2}} \left(\frac{2}{3}c\right)^{3/2},$$

and P_0 is a slow function of ω . For the spectrum in the direct cascade area we have

$$E(\omega) \simeq P_0^{1/3} \frac{\left(\ln \frac{\omega}{\omega^*}\right)^{1/2}}{\omega^4}.$$
(38)

Since in experiments $\omega^* \leq \omega_p$ (ω_p is a frequency of spectral peak), at the current level of experimental accuracy it is not easy to distinguish formula (36) from ZF spectrum ω^{-4} .

We should stress again that the forced stationary equation (28) has a regular solution if and only if there are regions of intensive damping both in small and high wave numbers. What happens in other cases? Suppose, there is no damping at all. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\omega) > 0, \quad \omega_1 < \omega < \omega_2, \\ \beta(\omega) = 0, \quad \omega < \omega_1., \quad \omega > \omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then in the isotropic case, outside of the forcing areas the spectra turn to Kolmogorov type spectra

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \frac{g^{4/3} P^{1/3}}{a^{1/3} \omega^4}, \quad \omega > \omega_2,$$

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \frac{g^{4/3} Q^{1/3}}{a^{1/3} \omega^{11/3}}, \quad \omega < \omega_1,$$
(39)

and the fluxes of energy and wave action have the form

$$P = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \beta(\omega) \,\omega \,\epsilon(\omega) \,d\omega,$$
$$Q = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \beta(\omega) \,\epsilon(\omega) \,d\omega.$$
(40)

There is a difference of principle importance between the area of direct cascade $\omega > \omega_2$ and the area of inverse cascade $\omega < \omega_1$. In the area of direct cascade, the integrals of motion, energy and wave action are finite. On the opposite, in the inverse cascade area the energy and the wave action diverge. We can say that the direct cascade has finite capacity, while inverse cascade has infinite capacity.

A situation with no sink at high wave numbers is pure theoretical. Such a sink always exists due to pletora of physical reasons: viscosity, transformation of gravity waves to capillary waves, and finally due to wave breaking. A scrupulous consideration of these processes is not necessary for understanding: what happens near the spectral peak? Moreover, according to our preliminary study, Kolmogorov spectrum of direct cascade can be formed in a finite time [Pushkarev, Resio, Zakharov, 2000].

On the contrary, the Kolnogorov spectrum of inverse cascade, due to its infinite capacity, cannot be formed in a finite time. If there is no intensive enough damping at small wave numbers, the inverse cascade cannot be arrested. The downshift of spectral peak to small frequency area will continue infinitely until it will be stopped by topographical (better to say, geographical) factors.

6 Discussion

The first weak-turbulent Kolmogorov spectrum for gravity waves, $\epsilon_{\omega} \simeq \omega^{-4}$, was derived analytically as an exact solution of the kinetic equation in 1966 [Zakharov, Filonenko, 1966]. The second Kolmogorov spectrum $\epsilon_{\omega} \simeq \omega^{-11/3}$ was obtained in the same year in my PhD thesis in Novosibirsk [Zakharov, 1966]; in a regular journal the spectrum was published in 1982 [Zakharov, Zaslavskii, 1982]. It is called now Zakharov-Zaslavskii (ZZ) spectrum.

For the first time, the spectrum $\epsilon_{\omega} \simeq \omega^{-4}$ was observed experimentally by Toba in 1972. Since that this spectrum was observed by many researches [Forrestal, 1981; Kahma, 1981; Kawai et al, 1977; Donelan et al, 1985]. In 1987 Battjes et al found that spectrum ω^{-4} fits the JONSWAP experiment much better than ω^{-5} . In 1985

O. Phillips published a well known article, where he admitted that ω^{-4} spectrum fits the experiment better than the "Phillips spectrum" ω^{-5} . However he did not offer a proper theoretical explanation of this fact.

In 1982-83 Zakharov and Zaslavskii published in the Russian journal four articles on application of the weak turbulence theory to the wind-driven sea [Zakharov, Zaslavskii. 1982; 1983]; soon after S. Kitaigorodskii used successfully these results for interpretation of experimental data [Kitaigorodskii, 1983]. Since that time the weak turbulent theory became known to the world community of oceanographers; however even now this theory is not completely accepted. For almost thirty years the obvious facts:

1. $\epsilon_{\omega} \sim \omega^{-4}$ is the exact solution of the stationary kinetic equation,

2. $\epsilon_{\omega} \sim \omega^{-4}$ is the spectrum persistently observed in all experiments,

coexist separately in the collective conscience, almost not interacting with each other. I believe, this is a unique situation in the history of science.

The standard arguments against the weak-turbulent theory are the following (see, for instance, Komen, Cavaliery et al, 1994).

1. Zakharov-Filonenko spectrum is isotropic, while the real spectra are anisotropic.

This argument is not a serious one. The isotropic spectrum ω^{-4} is the simplest example of weak-turbulent spectra. We showed in this paper that more general Kolmogorov spectra, which carry momentum to high frequency region, are anisotropic. Anyway, they are very close to ω^{-4} .

2. In the "classical" theory of turbulence for incompressible fluid, a source of energy is concentrated in small wave numbers while in the case of gravity waves the source of energy is distributed along the whole spectrum.

One can add that the source of momentum is concentrated mostly in short waves. The answer is the following: in a real situation the flux of energy is not a constant inside the universal interval; this flux is a slowly growing function of frequency. This leads to nonessential modification: the appearance of slowly growing pre-factor proportional to $(\ln \omega/\omega^*)^{1/2}$.

We should stress again that the forced stationary kinetic equation (30) has a solution that contains a finite amount of energy if and only if there is an intensive dissipation in the low frequency region. This dissipation arrests the inverse cascade and is essential in the spectral peak area. Thus in this area equation (30) can be reduced to the form

$$S_{nl} + S_{ds} = 0.$$

We like to stress that the physical origin of this low frequency dissipation is unclear; the very fact of existence of this dissipation and the whole concept of the "fulldeveloped" are "mature" sea is questionable. We will discuss this subject in details in another article.

In the universal region behind the spectral peak, the solutions of the full equation (30) can be treated as solutions of the simple stationary equation

$$S_{nl} = 0$$

with frequency-dependent values of energy, wave action, and momentum flux. This is the central point of the theory of weak turbulence. We can add that this point is

supported now by massive numerical experiments (see for instance [Badulin et al, 2002], [Lavrenov et al, 2002], [Pushkarev et al, to be published]).

The research presented in this paper was supported by NSF grant NDM0072803 and by the Army Corps of Engineers, RTDIE program, grant DACA 42-00-C0044.

Appendix

Solution of the equation

$$LA = \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\omega^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2}\right)A = f(\omega, \phi)$$
(41)

is given by the Green function

$$A(\omega, \phi) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} G(\omega, \omega', \phi - \phi') f(\omega', \phi') d\omega' d\phi',$$

where

$$G(\omega, \omega', \phi - \phi') = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\omega \,\omega'}}{\Delta_n} e^{in(\phi - \phi')} \\ \times \left[\left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right)^{\Delta_n} \Theta\left(1 - \frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right) + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega'}\right)^{\Delta_n} \Theta\left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega} - 1\right) \right]$$

Here

$$\Delta_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2n^2}$$

and

$$\Theta(\xi) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \xi > 0 \\ 0 & \xi < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Equation (10) appears after substituting of $S_{nl}(\omega', \phi')$ as $f(\omega', \phi')$ in formula (40).

References

- Badulin S., A. Pushkarev, D. Resio, and V. Zakharov, Direct and inverse cascades of energy, momentum, and wave action in wind-driven sea, Preprints 7th International workshop on wave hindcasting and forecasting, Banff, Alberta, October 21-25; 295-307, 2002.
- [2] Battjes J.A., T. F. Zitman, and L. N. Holthuijsen, A reanalysis of spectra observed in JONSWAP, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 17, 1288-1295, 1987.
- [3] Donelan M. A., J. Hamilton, and W. H. Hui, Directional spectra of wind=generated waves, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, A 315, 509-562, 1985.

- [4] Donelan M. A., M. Skafel, H. Graber, P. Liu, D. Schwab, and S. Venkatesh, On the growth rate of wind-generated waves, *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 30, 457-487, 1992.
- [5] Donelan M. A. and W. J. Pierson, Radar scattering and equilibrium rages in wind-generated waves with application to scatterometry, J. Geophys. Res., 92, C5, 4971-5029, 1987.
- [6] Forristal J.Z., Measurements of saturated range in ocean wave spectra, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 8075-8084, 1981.
- [7] Hasselmann, K., On the nonlinear energy transfer in a gravity-wave spectrum. Part 1. General Theory. J. Fluid Mech., 12, 481–500, 1962.
- [8] Hasselmann, K., On the nonlinear transfer in a gravity-wave spectrum. Part 2. Conservation theorems; wave-particle analogy; irreversibility. J. Fluid Mech., 12, 273–281, 1963.
- [9] Hsiao, S., and O. Shemdin, 1983; Measurements of wind velocity and pressure with a wave follower during MARSEN. J. Geophys. Res., 88, 9841–9849, 1983.
- [10] Kahma, K. K., 1981; A study of the growth of the wave spectrum with fetch. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 11, 1505–1515, 1981.
- [11] Kawai S., K. Okada, and Y. Toba, Field data support of three-second law and σ^{-4} spectral form for growing wind waves, J. Oceanog. Soc. Japan, 33, 137-150, 1977.
- [12] Kitaigorodskii S. A., On the theory of the equilibrium range in the spectrum of wind-generates gravity waves, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 13, 816-826, 1983.
- [13] Kitaigorodskii S. A., The equilibrium ranges in wind-wave dynamics and ratio probing of the ocean surface, Ed. by O. M. Phyllips and K. Hasselmann. Plenum Pess Corp., 9-40, 1986.
- [14] Komen G. J., S. Hasselmann, and K. Hasselmann, On the existence of a fully developed wind-sea spectrum, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 14, 1271-1285, 1984.
- [15] Komen G. J., L. Cavaleri, M. Donelan, K. Hasselman, S. Hasselmann, and P. A. Janssen, Dynamics and Modelling of Ocean Waves. Cambridge, University Press, 532-540, 1994.
- [16] Lavrenov I., D. Resio and V. Zakharov, Numerical simulation of weak turbulent Kolmogorov spectrum in water surface waves, Preprints 7th International workshop on wave hindcasting and forecasting, Banff, Alberta, October 21-25; 327-339, 2002.
- [17] Phillips O. M., Spectral and statistical properties of the equilibrium range in wind-generated gravity waves, J. Fluid. Mech., 156, 505-531, 1985.
- [18] Pushkarev A. and V. Zakharov, On conservation of the constants of motion in the models of nonlinear wave interaction, Preprints 6th International Workshop on Wave Hindcasting and Forecasting, Monterey, California, November 6-10, 2000; 456-469, 2000.

- [19] Pushkarev A., D. Resio, and V. Zakharov, Weak turbulent theory of windgenerated gravity sea waves, *Physica D*, in print.
- [20] Resio D. T. and W. A. Perrie, Implication of an f⁻⁴ equilibrium range for wind-generated waves, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 2, 193-207, 1989.
- [21] Snyder, R., F. Dobson, J. Ellion, and R. Long, Array measurements of atmospheric pressure fluctuations above surface gravity waves. J. Fluid Mech., 102, 1–59, 1981.
- [22] Toba Y., Local balance in the air-sea boundery processes. III. On the spectrum of wind waves, J. Oceanogr. Soc. Japan, 29, 209-220, 1973.
- [23] Tolman, H., and D. Chalikov, Source terms in third–generation wind wave model. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 2497–2518, 1996.
- [24] Webb D. J., Non-linear transfer between sea waves. Deep-Sea Res., 25, 279-298, 1978.
- [25] Zakharov V. E., Some aspects of nonlinear theory of surface waves, PhD thesis, Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia, 1966 (in Russian).
- [26] Zakharov, V., and N. Filonenko, The energy spectrum for stochastic oscillations of a fluid surface. Sov. Phys. Docl., 11, 881–884, 1967.
- [27] Zakharov V., Stability of periodic waves of finite amplitude on surface of deep water, PMFT, No, 2, 86-94, 1968.
- [28] Zakharov V. and M. Zaslavskii, Kinetic equation and Kolmogorov spectra in weak turbulent theory of wind generated waves, *Proc. Acad. Scien. USSR*, *Atmosphere and Ocean Physics*, 18, No. 9, 970-980, 1982.
- [29] Zakharov V. E. and M. M. Zaslavskii, The kinetic equation and Kolmogorov spectra in the weak-turbulence theory of wind waves, *Izv. Atm. Ocean. Physics*, 18, 747-753, 1982.
- [30] Zakharov V. and M. Zaslavskii, Shape of spectrum of energy carrying components of a water surface in the weak-turbulence theory of wind waves, *Izv. Atm. Ocean. Phys.*, 19, 207-212, 1983.
- [31] Zakharov V. and M. Zaslavskii, Dependence of wave parameters on the wind velocity, duration of its action and fetch in the weak-turbulence theory of water waves. *Izv. Atm. Ocean. Physics*, 19(4), 300-306, 1983.
- [32] Zakharov, V., G. Falkovich, and V. Lvov, Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence,1. Wave turbulence. Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [33] Zakharov V. E., Direct and inverse cascade in wind-driven sea and wave breaking, Proceedings of IUTAM Meeting on Wave Breaking, Sydney, 1991. Eds. M.L.Bahher and R.H.Y.Grimshaw, (Springer – Verlag, Berlin, 1992), 69–91.
- [34] Zakharov V., Statistical theory of surface waves on fluid of finite depth. Eur. J. Mech. B/Fluids, 18, 327–344, 1999.

- [35] Zakharov V. E. and A. N. Pushkarev, Diffusion model of interacting gravity waves on the surface of deep fluid, *Nonlin. Proc. Geophys.*, 6, 1-10, 1999.
- [36] Zakharov V. E., Theoretical interpretation of fetch limited wind-driven sea observations, Preprints 7th International workshop on wave hindcasting and forecasting, Banff, Alberta, October 21-25; 286-295, 2002.