Coulomb drag in graphene

Igor Gornyi

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Collaboration: Mikhail Titov (Nijmegen) Boris Narozhny (Karlsruhe) Pavel Ostrovsky (Stuttgart) Michael Schütt (Karlsruhe) Alexander Mirlin (Karlsruhe)

Phys. Rev. B 85, 195421 (2012)

+ arXiv:1205.5018

Chernogolovka, 14 September 2012

What is DRAG?

- Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary, http://www.webster.com
 - 3: a draw on a pipe, cigarette, or cigar
 - 4: one that is boring or gets in the way of enjoyment
 - 7: clothing typical of one sex worn by a person of the opposite sex
- American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, http://www.dictionary.com
 - 7: one that impedes or slows progress; a drawback, clog, or burden
 - 12: one that is obnoxiously tiresome

My lectures were only a pleasure to me, and no drag.

What is Coulomb drag?



Coulomb drag = response of the passive layer to a current in the active layer mediated by Coulomb interaction

Coulomb drag measurements

Gramila, Eisenstein, MacDonald et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1216 (1991)

VIEW LETTERS

4 MARCH 1991



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of observed frictional drag between two 2D electron systems separated by 175-Å barrier. Data are plotted as an equivalent resistance and a momentumtransfer rate. Inset: An idealized conduction-band diagram for a DQW structure indicating the ground subband energy E_0 and the Fermi energy E_F .

Theory: 2D

Pogrebinskii (1977) – introduced Coulomb drag

Zheng, MacDonald (1993) - memory function

Jauho, Smith (1993) - kinetic equation

Kamenev, Oreg (1995) – diagrammatics

Flensberg et al. (1995) – diagrammatics

. . .

Coulomb drag: why interesting?

• no drag without interaction:

probe of inter-electron correlations

- provides information about inelastic processes, phase-coherent phenomena
- drag is related to particle-hole asymmetry

Drag in graphene near the Dirac point ?

Particle-hole asymmetry



Example: strong magnetic field

(i) Curvature → normal positive drag
 (ii) Landau levels DoS → anomalous oscillatory drag
 IG, Mirlin, von Oppen (2004)

Drag in 2D: standard theory

transconductivity:

more convenient for diagrammatics

$$\sigma^D_{lphaeta}=-j_{2lpha}/E_{1eta}$$

$$\sigma_D \ll \sigma_{1(2)}$$
$$\rho_D = \sigma_D / (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)$$

$$\sigma^D_{ij} = rac{e^2}{16\pi TS} \sum_{\mathrm{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{d\omega}{\mathrm{sinh}^2(\omega/2T)} \Gamma^{(1)}_i(\mathrm{q},\omega,B) \Gamma^{(2)}_j(\mathrm{q},\omega,-B) |U(\mathrm{q},\omega)|^2$$

 $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \text{quasiclassical rectification coefficient}$

 \longleftrightarrow dc response to ac scalar potential $\phi(\mathbf{q}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$:

$$\mathrm{J^{dc}} = rac{e}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{q},\omega} \Gamma(\mathrm{q},\omega) |e\phi(\mathrm{q},\omega)|^2$$

Drag in graphene



News in graphene

 $E_{\nu}(k) = \nu v k, \quad \nu = \pm$ electron-hole symmetry at the Dirac point linear spectrum – no Galilean invariance

- non-trivial single-layer conductivity

small interlayer distance d

Dirac spectrum at low energies



- electron density can be positive, negative, large and low
- Fermi wave length at low density is much larger than d
- screening length at low density is much larger than d

single-gate device

Kim, Jo, Nah, Yao, Banerjee, and Tutuc, Phys. Rev. B 83, 161401 (2011)



single-gate device

Kim, Jo, Nah, Yao, Banerjee, and Tutuc, Phys. Rev. B 83, 161401 (2011)



double-gate device

Tutuc and Kim, Solid State Comm. (2012)



double-gate device

Tutuc and Kim, Solid State Comm. (2012)



Gorbachev, Geim, Novoselov, Ponomarenko et al. ArXiv:1206.6626



- Double-gate setup:

- "clean"

substrate and spacer – BN

smaller inter-layer spacing d = 1-10 nm



double-gate device

Gorbachev, Geim, Novoselov, Ponomarenko et al. ArXiv:

ArXiv:1206.6626



double-gate device

Gorbachev, Geim, Novoselov, Ponomarenko et al.

ArXiv:1206.6626



double-gate device

Gorbachev, Geim, Novoselov, Ponomarenko et al.

ArXiv:1206.6626



disordered graphene

Second-order perturbation theory

Narozhny, Titov, IG, and Ostrovsky, PRB (2012)



 $\rho_D^{(2)}(\mu_1,\mu_2=0)=0$

Drag in disordered graphene

controlled perturbation theory

- weak interaction
- dominant scattering mechanism is due to disorder

arbitrary values of chemical potential

 $\alpha^2 T \tau \ll 1$

- qualitatively not important:
 - plasmons
 - static screening is sufficient
 - spectrum renormalization
 - energy dependence of disorder scattering time



Results for $\alpha \ll 1$ $d \ll \hbar v/T$



comparison with experiment

courtesy of L. Ponomarenko



ultra-clean graphene

Drude-like model revisited

momentum transfer rate



active layer

passive layer

equation of motion:

$$\frac{d}{dt}v_2 = \frac{e}{m}E + \frac{v_1 - v_2}{\tau_D} - \frac{v_2}{\tau}$$

trans-resistivity:

$$\rho_D = \left(\frac{e^2 n}{m} \tau_D\right)^{-1}$$

active layer

equation of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt}v_{1} = \frac{e}{m}E + \frac{v_{2} - v_{1}}{\tau_{D}} - \frac{v_{1}}{\tau}$$

disordered sample



- clean sample $\frac{1}{\tau} = 0 \qquad \rho_{11} = -\rho_{12} = \rho_D = \left(\frac{e^2 n}{m}\tau_D\right)^{-1}$

degenerate resistivity matrix infinite conductivity resistivity due to inter-layer interaction

Graphene: no Galilean invariance, relativistic dynamics

Clean vs. disordered graphene



Kinetic theory of the drag

Linearized kinetic equation:

$$n_{i}(\epsilon, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = n_{F}^{(i)}(\epsilon) + T \frac{\partial n_{F}^{(i)}(\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} h_{i}(\epsilon, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$$
$$\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{e \mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{v}}{T} = -\frac{h_{1}}{\tau} + I_{11}\{h_{1}\} + I_{12}\{h_{1}, h_{2}\},$$
$$\frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial t} = -\frac{h_{2}}{\tau} + I_{22}\{h_{2}\} + I_{21}\{h_{2}, h_{1}\},$$
$$H_{ij} = -\int d2 \ d3 \ d4 \ W^{ij}(h_{i,1} - h_{i,2} + h_{j,3} - h_{j,4})$$

Inelastic scattering in graphene

Kashuba '08, Fritz, Müller, Schmalian, Sachdev '08

Linear spectrum:

Velocity is not equivalent to momentum: momentum conservation does not prevent current relaxation

- Finite transport rate due to inelastic e-e scattering

Collinear scattering singularity: momentum conservation = energy conservation - Fast thermalization within a given direction

$$n(\epsilon, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\frac{\epsilon - \mu(\hat{\mathbf{v}})}{T(\hat{\mathbf{v}})}\right]} \simeq n_F(\epsilon) - \frac{\partial n_F(\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \left[\frac{\delta \mu(\hat{\mathbf{v}})}{T} + (\epsilon - \mu)\frac{\delta T(\hat{\mathbf{v}})}{T^2}\right]$$

Collinear scattering singularity

collinear scattering



 equivalence of energy and momentum conservation laws

$$p_1 + p_3 = p_2 + p_4$$
$$\epsilon_i = \pm v |p_i|$$
$$\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4$$

linearized collision integrals

"momentum mode"

$$h_i \propto p \; \Rightarrow \; I_{ij} = 0$$

"velocity mode"

$$h_i \propto oldsymbol{v} \; \Rightarrow \; I_{ij} = 0$$

if $oldsymbol{v}_i \| oldsymbol{v}_j$

$$I_{ij} = -\int d2 \ d3 \ d4 \ W^{ij} (h_{i,1} - h_{i,2} + h_{j,3} - h_{j,4})$$

$$W^{ij} = \delta(\boldsymbol{p}_1 - \boldsymbol{p}_2 + \boldsymbol{p}_3 - \boldsymbol{p}_4) \ \delta(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)$$

$$\times \frac{\cosh \frac{\epsilon_1 - \mu_i}{2T}}{2\cosh \frac{\epsilon_2 - \mu_i}{2T}\cosh \frac{\epsilon_3 - \mu_j}{2T}\cosh \frac{\epsilon_4 - \mu_j}{2T}} K_{1,2;3,4}^{ij},$$

Double-layer graphene

Only two modes (velocity and momentum) in each layer:

$$h_i(\epsilon, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \left(\chi_v^{(i)} + \chi_p^{(i)} \epsilon/T\right) e\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}/T^2$$

Fast unidirectional thermalization between layers:

$$\chi_p^{(1)} = \chi_p^{(2)}$$

Kinetic (integral) equation reduces to a 3x3 matrix equation! Hydrodynamics: total momentum + particle currents

Hydrodynamic equations

$$J_i = -NT \int d\epsilon \nu(\epsilon) \frac{\partial n_F^{(i)}}{\partial \epsilon} \int d\hat{\mathbf{v}} v h_i(\epsilon, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$$

 $\boldsymbol{P} = e\epsilon_0 C_1^2 (\boldsymbol{E}_1 + \boldsymbol{E}_2) \tau$

$$e\epsilon_0 \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} + \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{ee} - \widehat{\mathcal{I}}_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau_D} - \frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ P \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{ee(D)} = \left[(\hat{\sigma}_0 + \hat{\sigma}_1) C_1^2 + 2\hat{\sigma}_{0(1)} C_2 \right] / \tau_{ee(D)}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{\langle \epsilon \rangle_{\epsilon}}{T} \sim \frac{\mu}{T}, \quad C_2 = \frac{\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle_{\epsilon} - \langle \epsilon \rangle_{\epsilon}^2}{T^2} \sim const,$$

Scattering rates: Golden Rule

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}^{a}} = \frac{N}{8T^{2}B_{2}} \int d\{\epsilon_{i}\}d\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i}\} \left[(\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2} + \mathbf{v}_{3} - \mathbf{v}_{4})^{2} \mathcal{W}^{aa} + 2(\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2})^{2} \mathcal{W}^{ab} \right] \\
\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}^{b}} = \frac{N}{8T^{2}B_{2}} \int d\{\epsilon_{i}\}d\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i}\} \left[(\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2} + \mathbf{v}_{3} - \mathbf{v}_{4})^{2} \mathcal{W}^{bb} + 2(\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2})^{2} \mathcal{W}^{ba} \right] \\
\frac{1}{\tau_{D}} = \frac{N}{4T^{2}B_{2}} \int d\{\epsilon_{i}\}d\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i}\} (\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2})(\mathbf{v}_{4} - \mathbf{v}_{3}) \mathcal{W}^{ba}$$

close to the Dirac point

$$\frac{1}{\tau_D} \sim \alpha^2 N \frac{\mu_a \mu_b}{T}$$
$$\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}^{a,b}} \sim \alpha^2 N T$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_D} \sim \alpha^2 N \frac{T^2}{\mu} \ln \frac{\mu}{T}$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} - \frac{1}{\tau_D} \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} \frac{T^2}{\mu^2} \ll \frac{1}{\tau_{ee}}$$

Drag resistivity

Equal layers:

$$\rho_D = \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \frac{C_2}{\epsilon_0} \frac{(\tau \tau_D)^{-1} + C_1^2 \left[\tau_{ee}^{-2} - \tau_D^{-2}\right]}{\tau^{-1} + C_1^2 \left[\tau_{ee}^{-1} - \tau_D^{-1}\right]}$$

Non-equal layers near the Dirac point

$$\rho_D(\mu_i \ll T) \approx 2.87 \frac{h}{e^2} \alpha^2 \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + 0.49T/(\alpha^2 \tau)}$$

Finite drag at the Dirac point in the clean case!

neutrality point

Drag rate: beyond Golden Rule

$$\left| M_{ab}^{(1)} + M_{ab}^{(2)} \right|^2 \simeq \left| M_{ab}^{(1)} \right|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{ M_{ab}^{(1)} \left[M_{ab}^{(2)} \right]^* \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_D} \sim \alpha^2 N \frac{\mu^2}{T^2} + \alpha^3 N T$$

$$\rho_D \sim \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \frac{\alpha^3 T + \alpha^4 \mu^2 \tau N}{T + \alpha^2 \mu^2 \tau N}, \qquad \mu \ll \alpha^{1/2} T, \quad \frac{1}{\tau} \ll T$$

Exactly at the Dirac point: finite third-order drag

$$\rho_D^{(3)}(\mu=0)\sim (\hbar/e^2)\alpha^3$$

Diffusive regime

Conventional (second-order) drag vanishes at the Dirac point

$$\rho_D^{(2)} \left(\mu_i \ll T \ll \tau^{-1} \right) \sim (\hbar/e^2) \alpha^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 T \tau^3$$

Third-order (Levchenko & Kamenev 2008) drag dominates

 $\varkappa d \ll 1$ $\rho_D^{(3)} \sim \frac{\alpha^3}{(T\tau)^{3/2}}$ $\rho_D^{(3)} \sim h/e^2 \text{ for } \tau^{-1} \gg \alpha^{-2}T$

Correlated disorder

Correlated elastic scattering in the two layers with common impurities



Diffusive regime: interlayer Cooper mode (IG, Yashenkin, Khveshchenko '99)

$$\begin{split} \rho_D^{\rm corr} &\sim \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \frac{\alpha^2}{[1 - \alpha \ln(T\tau)]^2}, \qquad \tau_g^{-1} \ll T \ll \tau^{-1} \\ \rho_D^{\rm corr} &\sim \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \frac{\alpha^2 (T\tau_g)^2}{[1 - \alpha \ln(T\tau)]^2}, \qquad T \ll \tau_g^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Correlated disorder

Correlated elastic scattering in the two layers with common impurities

$$\frac{1}{\tau_g} = \frac{\tau_{12} - \tau}{\tau^2}$$

Moderately correlated disorder:

 $\tau_g \sim \tau \sim \tau_{12}$

$$\rho_D^{\rm corr} \sim \frac{\hbar}{e^2} \alpha^2 \begin{cases} (T\tau)^{-1}, & T\tau \gg 1\\ (T\tau)^2, & T\tau \ll 1 \end{cases}$$



Drag at the Dirac point







Summary

Coulomb drag in graphene:

- Perturbation theory
- Kinetic theory (3 mode hydrodynamics)
- Clean graphene (equilibrated drag)
- Peak at the Dirac point
 3rd order drag, drag with correlated disorder